DAA DNT Hybrid

Yahoo! Privacy, Trust & Safety Group 28 April 2011

Presented by: Vinay Goel (vgoel@yahoo-inc.com)



Session Topic:

Opt-outs, Granular Control and Multiple
Mechanisms

How can we provide granular controls that allow users to partially opt-out or opt-out at only certain sites? How do we avoid multiple systems sending conflicting signals?



Core Principles

- It's critical that Publishers be able to engage with consumers in a quid pro quo discussion (important voice in these discussions)
- It would be better for Consumers to have consistent privacy tools and functionality regardless of web browser (cumulative user education)
- A unified, comprehensive solution (current + emerging):
 - Provides a solution for all consumers by bridging current opt-out programs with new browser-based tracking features
 - CLEAR Ad Notice is strong on transparency (per ad visibility all 3rd parties exposed)
 - DNT is strong on persistence
 - What is lacking? Offering users granular controls for trusted brands
- Definition of Do Not Track better left to policy-centric forums (CDT, for example)
- For sake of discussion:
 - Industry should maintain current, consistent definitions of OBA Opt-Out (DNT = Opt-Out)
 - Allows for consumer education efforts to be combined (additive vs. fractured)



DNT Exceptions

- Provide consumers mechanism for transparency and granular control
 - KISS: DNT "off" by default but when enabled is on for all allow users to grant exceptions
 - Proactive exception requests from Publishers (quid pro quo)
- Allow Exceptions to DNT Header:
 - Simple Domain Entries (domain.com)
 - Single entries or lists
 - Subscription a nice to have (as 3rd party relationship with Publisher may alter over time)
 - Able to send signals to 1st parties if 3rd parties on its property are blocked
- Consumers can set choice through either the web browser UI (DNT) or Opt-Out pages available via CLEAR Ad
 - Honoring exception signals could be prioritized via a consistent rules-based system

